

Gradually Transforming Syntax to Semantics

Oleg Kiselyov Leo Tingchen Hsu

Tohoku University, Japan

New Landscapes in Theoretical Computational Linguistics
OSU, October 16, 2016

Summary: Semantics-by-Transformations

QR

- ▶ Transformation to semantics (covert movement), ...
- ▶ Negative predictions

Now

- ▶ restrained, rigorous, type preserving
- ▶ mostly deterministic

- ▶ quantifier ambiguity, scoping islands and binding, crossover, topicalization, *inverse linking*

- ▶ The product of long evolution (of my views)
- ▶ Precisely specified and can be carried out mechanically: Semantic calculator
- ▶ Compositionality: not just meanings but transformations

Broader Context

meaning from some (abstract) form

Proof search

- ▶ Logically insightful
- ▶ Hard to get negative predictions
- ▶ Hard to characterize the space of derivations

Broader Context

meaning from some (abstract) form

Evaluation

Chung-chieh Shan: Linguistic side-effects

Barker et al.: Monads in natural languages

DRT

- ▶ Algorithmic; possible claim to real life
- ▶ Mostly deterministic (as real programs)
- ▶ Inherently partial
- ▶ (Usually) precisely specified and *mechanized*
- ▶ Too rigid
- ▶ Too easy to get bogged down in technical details

Broader Context

meaning from some (abstract) form

History

- ▶ 2007-2008 multi-prompt delimited control
- ▶ 2009 ACG with multi-prompt delimited control
- ▶ 2011-2012 ACG with monads, then applicatives
- ▶ 2015 ACG with staging and applicatives
- ▶ 2015 LENLS talk (still applicatives)
- ▶ 2015 LENLS paper (starting to abstract the details away)

Problems

- (1) Every girl_{*i*}'s father loves her_{*i*} mother.
- (1a) *Every girl_{*i*}'s father loves its_{*i*} mother.
- (1b) *Her_{*i*} father loves every girl_{*i*}'s mother.
- (1c) A girl_{*i*} met every boy who liked her_{*i*}.
- (2a) That John_{*i*} left upset his_{*i*} teacher.
- (2b) *That every boy_{*i*} left upset his_{*i*} teacher.
- (3a) Alice's present for him_{*i*}, every boy_{*i*} saw.
- (3b) *Every boy_{*i*}, his_{*i*} mother likes.
- (4) Two politicians spy on someone from every city.

Problems

- (1) Every girl_{*i*}'s father loves her_{*i*} mother.
- (1a) *Every girl_{*i*}'s father loves its_{*i*} mother.
- (1b) *Her_{*i*} father loves every girl_{*i*}'s mother.
- (1c) A girl_{*i*} met every boy who liked her_{*i*}.
- (2a) That John_{*i*} left upset his_{*i*} teacher.
- (2b) *That every boy_{*i*} left upset his_{*i*} teacher.
- (3a) Alice's present for him_{*i*}, every boy_{*i*} saw.
- (3b) *Every boy_{*i*}, his_{*i*} mother likes.
- (4) Two politicians spy on someone from every city.

(Concrete) Terms

"John"."loves"."Mary"

Algebraic structure

Carrier : string

"John" : string

"loves" : string

"mary" : string

· : string \rightarrow string \rightarrow string

(Concrete) Terms

"John"."loves"."Mary"

Algebraic structure

Carrier : string

"John" : string

"loves" : string

"mary" : string

· : string → string → string

Too concrete. Too little typed

Abstract (Tecto) Terms

cl john (love mary)

Multisorted Algebraic structure

Carriers : S, NP, N, VP, PP

cl : $NP \rightarrow VP \rightarrow S$

john : NP

mary : NP

love : $NP \rightarrow VP$

Logic Terms

love john mary

First-Order Multisorted Algebraic structure

Types	:	e, t
mary	:	e
john	:	e
love	:	$e \rightarrow e \rightarrow t$
conj, disj, ...	:	$t \rightarrow t \rightarrow t$
x, y, z, \dots	:	e
\forall_x	:	$t \rightarrow t$
\exists_y	:	$t \rightarrow t$

Not λ -calculus

More than one Abstract Language

$every_x : N \rightarrow NP$

$a_x : N \rightarrow NP$

$var_x, var_y, \dots : NP$

$U_x, U_y, \dots : N \rightarrow S \rightarrow S$

$E_x, E_y, \dots : N \rightarrow S \rightarrow S$

$he, she, it : NP$

Transformation Approach Overview

Abstract

cl john (love mary)



Syntax

"John".("loves"."Mary")

Semantics

love mary john

(Context-sensitive) re-writing

Quantifier ambiguity

$cl (a_y \text{ woman}) (\text{love} (\text{every}_x \text{ man}))$

↙

↘ \mathcal{L}_E

$(E_y \text{ woman})$

$(cl \text{ var}_y (\text{love} (\text{every} \text{ man})))$

↓ \mathcal{L}_U

$(E_y \text{ woman})(U_x \text{ man})$

$(cl \text{ var}_y (\text{love} \text{ var}_x))$

↓

Semantics

$\exists y. \text{woman } y \wedge \forall x. \text{man } x \Rightarrow \text{love } x \ y$

$\mathcal{L}_U[cl \ C[\text{every}_x \ d_r] \ d] \mapsto (U_x \ d_r) (cl \ C[\text{var}_x] \ d)$

$\mathcal{L}_U[cl \ d \ C[\text{every}_x \ d_r]] \mapsto (U_x \ d_r) (cl \ d \ C[\text{var}_x])$

Quantifier ambiguity

$cl (a_y \text{ woman}) (\text{love} (\text{every}_x \text{ man}))$

↙

↘ \mathcal{L}_E

$(E_y \text{ woman})$

$(cl \text{ var}_y (\text{love} (\text{every} \text{ man})))$

↓ \mathcal{L}_U

$(E_y \text{ woman})(U_x \text{ man})$

$(cl \text{ var}_y (\text{love} \text{ var}_x))$

↓

Semantics

$\exists y. \text{woman } y \wedge \forall x. \text{man } x \Rightarrow \text{love } x \ y$

$\mathcal{L}_U[cl \ C[\text{every}_x \ d_r] \ d] \mapsto (U_x \ d_r) (cl \ C[\text{var}_x] \ d)$

$\mathcal{L}_U[cl \ d \ C[\text{every}_x \ d_r]] \mapsto (U_x \ d_r) (cl \ d \ C[\text{var}_x])$

QR, in a precisely specified, and typed-assured way

Implementing re-writing

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_U[cl\ C[every_x\ d_r]\ d] &\mapsto (U_x\ d_r)\ (cl\ C[var_x]\ d) \\ \mathcal{L}_U[cl\ d\ C[every_x\ d_r]] &\mapsto (U_x\ d_r)\ (cl\ d\ C[var_x])\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Shan: delimited continuations
- ▶ Barker, Charlow: monads
- ▶ ACG: linear lambda-calculus
- ▶ AACG: applicative

Implementing re-writing

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_U[cl\ C[every_x\ d_r]\ d] &\mapsto (U_x\ d_r)\ (cl\ C[var_x]\ d) \\ \mathcal{L}_U[cl\ d\ C[every_x\ d_r]] &\mapsto (U_x\ d_r)\ (cl\ d\ C[var_x])\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Shan: delimited continuations
- ▶ Barker, Charlow: monads
- ▶ ACG: linear lambda-calculus
- ▶ AACG: applicative
- ▶ Us: **Whatever**

Problems

- (1) Every girl_{*i*}'s father loves her_{*i*} mother.
- (1a) *Every girl_{*i*}'s father loves its_{*i*} mother.
- (1b) *Her_{*i*} father loves every girl_{*i*}'s mother.
- (1c) A girl_{*i*} met every boy who liked_{*i*} her.
- (2a) That John_{*i*} left upset his_{*i*} teacher.
- (2b) *That every boy_{*i*} left upset his_{*i*} teacher.
- (3a) Alice's present for him_{*i*}, every boy_{*i*} saw.
- (3b) *Every boy_{*i*}, his_{*i*} mother likes.

Demos

Conclusions

Transformational Formalism

- ▶ Abstract \mapsto Syntax & Semantics, compositionally
- ▶ Transformations are composed from smaller ones
- ▶ *Transformation are context-sensitive and non-trivial*

Mechanical implementation: semantics calculator

QR, movement, Cooper storage,...
in a precisely specified, and a typed-assured way

<http://okmij.org/ftp/gengo/transformational-semantics/>

Reflections

Reflections

Ad hoc and illogical?

But proof system is also sort of re-writing...

Reflections

Ad hoc and illogical?

But proof system is also sort of re-writing...

Minimalism?

Movements...

Reflections

Ad hoc and illogical?

But proof system is also sort of re-writing...

Minimalism?

Movements...

What is wrong Lambda-Calculus?

- ▶ ACG (Lambda-Grammars) are based on it
- ▶ But it is not a context-sensitive re-writing system by nature